**CMSC 495 Peer Evaluation Form for Group Work**

**Your name: \_Reid Buchanan\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

Write the name of each of your group members in a separate column. For each person, indicate the extent to which you agree with the statement on the left, using a scale of 1-4 (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=agree; 4=strongly agree). Total the numbers in each column.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Evaluation Criteria |  | Group member:  ***Mr. Lemar Llanes*** | Group member:  ***Mr. David Jarrett*** | Group member: | Your Self Evaluation: |
| Attends group meetings regularly and arrives on time. |  | **4 – Strongly Agree** | **2 – Disagree** |  | **4 – Strongly Agree** |
| Contributes meaningfully to group discussions. |  | **4 – Strongly agree** | **2 – Disagree** |  | **4 – Strongly Agree** |
| Completes group assignments on time. |  | **4 – Strongly agree** | **2 – Disagree** |  | **4 – Strongly Agree** |
| Prepares work in a quality manner. |  | **4 – Strongly Agree** | **2 – Disagree** |  | **4 – Strongly Agree** |
| Demonstrates a cooperative and supportive attitude. |  | **4 – Strongly agree** | **3 – Agree** |  | **4 – Strongly Agree** |
| Contributes significantly to the success of the project. |  | **4 – Strongly agree** | **2 - Disagree** |  | **4 – Strongly Agree** |
| TOTALS |  | **24** | **13** |  | **24** |

**Feedback on team dynamics:**

1. ***How effectively did your group work?***

For the first four weeks of the Capstone Project, Lemar Llanes has been very involved in the selection process, and set up to the current point in the project. He provides constructive feedback, and feedback on the documentation and programming needed to complete the Social Networking Cop Capstone project. On the other hand, David Jarrett has been less than involved in the project to date. His lack of communication in the Group Collaboration Chats has demonstrated his lack of involvement.

1. ***Were the behaviors of any of your team members particularly valuable or detrimental to the team? Explain.***

The most detrimental impact to the overall success of the project is the lack of communication and involvement of David Jarrett. He has very limited communication with the team in the group chat and hasn’t provided any feedback on the overall code so far. To this point, he has only attended one of the three MS Teams meeting for the Capstone Project. He shared the desire to potentially bring in additional features for the project but hasn’t produced the results. In the same time, we’re working to get the main requirements settled and we will add any additional features as time allowed.

1. ***What did you learn about working in a group from this project that you will carry into your next group experience?***

From this point in the project, I have learned that the difference types of people, proactive and reactionary, can truly determine the success of a project in my future career. I know from experience that team dynamics can really impact the outcome of your project.

OTE: Adapted from a peer evaluation form developed at Johns Hopkins University (October, 2006)